

## MINUTES MVUDSS BOARD MEETING 9/2/16

IN ATTENDANCE: S. Baranick (SB); J. Hansell (JH); T. Jadwin (TJ); B. Kapeller (BK); R. Schemp (RS)

### **1. Rock Balls for League Play**

RS will provide the league with seven (7) dozen used ISA Rock balls. By unanimous consensus, without vote and with RS abstaining, the league will pay RS \$15/dozen for the balls. Additionally, the unanimous consensus of the Board, with each Board member polled and with RS abstaining, the league will pay RS \$100 as payment not received for balls he provided to the league in 2015-2016. According to SB, these two payments are not in the budget and its impact will reduce our budget surplus accordingly.

RS will provide the league with four (4) dozen new ISA Rock balls at approximately \$50/dozen for the 2016-2017 year. Per SB, this amount is included in our budget.

### **2. Managers Receiving New Rock Game Balls**

TJ suggested that each manager be given seven (7) new ISA Rock balls and that the managers then be responsible for bringing one new Rock ball to each of their team's 7 home games. Without vote, but by unanimous agreement, the Board agreed to this. RS will provide the balls to the managers on 9/12/16.

### **3. Managers Providing Own Rock Game Balls**

Given the often limited and dwindling supply of available Rock game balls as a season progresses, TJ suggested that managers be permitted to provide alternate ISA Rock balls for any given game. Without official vote, but with each Board member "polled" on this issue, it was unanimously agreed that managers be allowed to provide ISA Rock game balls provided that (1) the managers speak to the umpire about this prior to the start of a game and (2) the "entered" ball(s) be used by both teams.

### **4. Players on Waiting List – Danny Coterel**

During the meeting, RS spoke to new player Danny Coterel. Coterel indicated to RS that he was aware that he would be making a long drive each week from his home in Palmdale simply to play one game. He is OK with this as well as the drive. As a result, he will be added to the waiting list as second in line behind Dave Peterson and in front of Bob Olsen. SB/TJ/RS all believe Coterel should be rated a "4", which will be his rating. JH/BK have not seen him play.

### **5. Mario Borroel (MB)**

Based on an e-mail communication to MB dated 5/22/16 in which the document language could be inferred by MB to mean that he was not banned (no reference) from the league and could return to the league, JH put a hold on drafting a response to MB which had been his assignment as approved by the Board in its 8/31/16 Board meeting.

During discussion, JH still held the position that the language communicated to MB was soft and somewhat "congratulatory" relative to his playing in tournaments in Las Vegas and Hemet. His playing in those two tournaments was, ostensibly, to be used by the Board as part of the reason to permanently ban him from playing in the league. JH feels that this potential action is contradictory at best and certainly not set in a clear manner to strengthen our desired position against MB. JH states all of this in spite of the fact that he wants MB permanently out of the league. TJ volunteered to call MB and communicate to him that he will no longer be eligible to play in the league or participate in league BPs. TJ will report back the results to the Board.

## **6. Mike O'Donnell (MOD) Issues – Mission Statement/Player Selection in Draft**

Prior to the start of the Monday league draft on 8/31/16, MOD addressed all individuals in attendance which included all Board members, all managers for the fall 2016-2017 season, and a few additional league players. MOD expressed his concerns about the Board not following certain specific elements of the league mission statement and his disagreement with the Board's decision to not allow all players who want to play in the league to be included in the draft and let players “fall out” of the league based on the decisions of managerial selection. Most, if not all, of the Board members expressed their opinion/point of view on these issues. MOD followed this up with an e-mail communication on 9/1/16 to all Board members and managers restating the positions he took on 8/31/16. The Board spent considerable time discussing MOD's e-mail, this topic, and all of its implications in today's Board meeting. Some Board members are sympathetic to and supportive of some, if not all, of the issues raised by MOD. The majority of the Board does not believe the changes identified by MOD are necessary. JH will draft a Board response to the MOD e-mail for Board review/approval prior to SB sending the final response.